



**University of
Zurich^{UZH}**

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2011

The impact of root dentine conditioning on sealing ability and push-out bond strength of an epoxy resin root canal sealer

Neelakantan, P ; Subbarao, C ; Subbarao, C V ; De-Deus, G ; Zehnder, Matthias

Abstract: AH Plus appears to bond to the organic phase of dentine. This bond influences its sealing ability.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01848.x>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-50248>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Neelakantan, P; Subbarao, C; Subbarao, C V; De-Deus, G; Zehnder, Matthias (2011). The impact of root dentine conditioning on sealing ability and push-out bond strength of an epoxy resin root canal sealer. *International Endodontic Journal*, 44(6):491-8.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01848.x>

The impact of root dentine conditioning on sealing ability and push-out bond strength of an epoxy resin root canal sealer

P. Neelakantan¹, X. Y, W. L., G. De-Deus², M. Zehnder³

¹Your Institution

²Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Division of Endodontology, Department of Preventive Dentistry, Periodontology, and Cariology, University of Zürich Center for Dental Medicine, Zürich, Switzerland.

Key words: fluid transport, leakage, bond strength, AH Plus

Running title: Dentine conditioning

Correspondence: Dr. Prasanna Neelakantan
(Address etc.)

Abstract

Aim To investigate the impact of dentine conditioning on sealing ability and dentine bond strength of an epoxy resin sealer.

Methodology Root canals in 90 single-rooted teeth were instrumented using a rotary Ni-Ti system. Fifty canals were irrigated with water during instrumentation, 40 with 3% NaOCl. A final flush was performed in the water-irrigated specimens with water (negative control), 3% NaOCl, 17% EDTA, 7% maleic acid (MA), or 2% chlorhexidine. The hypochlorite irrigated specimens received a final flush with a decalcifying agent (EDTA or MA) and then 3% NaOCl or 3% NaOCl and then the decalcifying agent ($n = 10$, each). Canals were all filled with AH Plus. Fluid transport was measured on day 3 and 30. Roots were then sectioned, and push-out tests were performed in coronal, middle, and apical root thirds. Results were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's adjustment. Spearman's rank correlation was computed between fluid transport and push-out bond strength.

Results Leakage decreased over time ($P < 0.05$). Push-out bond strength was highest in coronal and lowest in apical root thirds. Irrigating protocols with final application of a decalcifying agent resulted in a fold decrease in leakage and increase push-out bond strength values. If NaOCl was applied last, the effect of the decalcifying agent was abolished. Chlorhexidine had no impact on the outcomes. Fluid transport and push-out bond strength correlated strongly ($\rho = -0.83$).

Conclusions AH Plus appears to bond to the organic phase of dentine. This bond influences its sealing ability.

Introduction

Adhesion is a clinically desirable property of root canal sealers. Ideally, these materials should not shrink and bond effectively to both the surrounding substrates: the root canal walls and the core root-filling material (Grossman 1976). Upon introduction of adhesive root-filling materials, it was claimed that methacrylate-based sealers, which are rooted in adhesive technology developed for restorative dentistry and thus aimed to adhere to coronal dentine, could minimize leakage by increasing the seal between the core root-filling material and the root canal walls (Schwartz 2006). However, it has been shown that epoxy-resin cements such as AH Plus (DeTrey-Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany) have higher bond strength to root dentine than methacrylate sealers (Gesi *et al.* 2005, De-Deus *et al.* 2009). Furthermore, epoxy resin sealers have higher bond strength to the core filling material than other types of sealers (Lee *et al.* 2002). Methacrylate-based root canal sealers undergo significant volumetric shrinkage during the polymerization process (Souza *et al.* 2009). Epoxy resin sealers, in contrast, do not shrink when they cure (Orstavik *et al.* 2001, Souza *et al.* 2009).

Interestingly, there appears to be an impact of irrigating protocols on the adhesion of sealers to root dentine (De-Deus *et al.* 2008, Nunes *et al.* 2008, Pinna *et al.* 2009). It has been theorized that the adhesiveness of AH Plus to root dentine is related to covalent bonds between epoxide rings and the exposed amino groups in the collagen network (Fisher *et al.* 2007). Consequently, it could be so that the collagen network needs to be exposed and minimally preserved to improve bond strength (Nunes *et al.* 2008). Root dentine is differentially affected by calcium chelating agents and the proteolytic sodium hypochlorite (Mai *et al.* 2010, Zhang *et al.* 2010). The type of decalcifying agent has a significant impact on the root dentine wall: EDTA will cause a complete demineralization of the exposed wall, whilst organic acids cause a mineral gradient (Lottanti *et al.* 2009). The latter dentine

condition could yield itself better to resin infiltration (Prati *et al.* 1990). Furthermore, it has been speculated that chlorhexidine could have a positive effect on dentine bonding because of its inhibitory effect on matrix metalloproteinases (Hebling *et al.* 2005). However, hitherto, little information is available regarding the effect of chemical root dentine conditioning on sealer adhesion. In addition, it remains unknown whether the adhesion of root canal sealers to dentine or to the core root filling material is related to any other outcome variable, such as sealing ability of a given root filling system.

The present study was designed to examine the effect of dentine chemical pre-treatment using 3% NaOCl, 17% EDTA, 7% maleic acid, and 2% chlorhexidine on the sealing ability and push-out bond strength of an epoxy resin root canal sealer to root dentine. Moreover, the effect of the sequential usage of NaOCl and decalcifying agents was studied. The null hypotheses tested were: (1) the irrigation protocols had no influence on the sealing ability measured by fluid transport, (2) these protocols had no influence on the sealer-dentine bond strength and, (3) there was no significant correlation between sealer-dentine push-out bond strength and sealing ability of the root canal sealer.

Materials and Methods

Human single-rooted maxillary canines (N= 90) were collected and thoroughly cleaned by removing the hard deposits using curettes and the soft deposits by soaking in 5.25% NaOCl for 10 minutes. The teeth were decoronated at the cemento-enamel junction using a diamond disc, under water-cooling. The root lengths were standardised to 15 mm. The teeth were radiographed (DSX 730, Owandy Dental Imaging, France; Kodak 2100 X ray unit, Kodak Dental Systems, GA, USA) in different angulations to confirm the presence of a single canal. Working length was established using size 10 K-file (Mani Inc, Tochigi, Japan) to the root canal terminus and subtracting 0.5 mm from this measurement. The roots were

randomly divided into nine groups ($n = 10$) with the aid of a computer algorithm (<http://www.random.org>).

The root canals were instrumented using Mtwo nickel titanium rotary instruments (VDW GmbH, Munich, Germany) up to size # 35, 0.06 taper. Irrigation was performed using a 5 mL disposable plastic syringe (Ultradent Products Inc., South Jordan, UT, USA) with a polypropylene capillary tip (Ultradent) placed passively into the canal, up to 2 mm from the apical foramen without binding. During instrumentation, irrigation was performed with distilled water in fifty roots. These samples were divided randomly into five groups ($n = 10$) based on the final irrigant (5 mL) used: Group 1, distilled water; group 2, 3% NaOCl (Prime Dental Products, Mumbai, India); group 3, 17% EDTA (Pulpdent, MA, USA); group 4, 7% maleic acid (Sigma Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA); group 5, 2% chlorhexidine (Asep RC, Stedman Pharmaceuticals, Chennai, India). All final irrigants were allowed to remain in the canal for 2 min.

In the remaining forty roots, irrigation was performed applying 5 mL of 3% sodium hypochlorite during instrumentation. The samples were then divided into four groups ($n = 10$) according to the final irrigation regimen, during which 5 mL of the following irrigants, each, were used: group 6, 17% EDTA followed by 3% NaOCl ; group 7, 3% NaOCl followed by 17% EDTA; group 8, 7% maleic acid followed by 3% NaOCl and group 9, 3% NaOCl followed by 7% maleic acid. Each irrigant was allowed to remain in the canal for 2 min.

After test and control irrigating procedures, canals were rinsed with 5 mL of distilled water, dried using paper points (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and filled with an epoxy resin root canal sealer (AH Plus, Dentsply DeTrey, GmbH, Germany) using a lentulo spiral (Dentsply Maillefer). The teeth were radiographed (DSX 730, Owandy Dental Imaging, Champs sur Marne, France) at different angulations to verify the quality of filling procedure. The specimens were coated with nail varnish except for the root canal orifices and

apical foramina. The roots were coded and placed in 100% humidity for 48 hours to ensure complete setting of the sealer.

Fluid transport model

The sealing qualities of the test materials were recorded following the progress of a tiny air bubble travelling within a 25- μ L glass capillary tube (Microcaps, Fisher Scientific, Philadelphia, PA, USA). The methodology and set-up for the fluid transport model have been described elsewhere (Kececi *et al.* 2010). To estimate the fluid movement through the root fillings, 0.2 bar water pressure was applied to the roots for 24 h during the third day after the root filling procedure, and fluid flow was measured continuously. At the end of the 24 h, specimens were stored in a humid atmosphere for 27 days at 37°C. During storage, the specimens were stored in hermetically sealed jars containing 0.2% sodium azide, to prevent any dehydration and contamination. Thirty days after root filling, the specimens were immersed in distilled water for 6 h before recording fluid-flow rates for 2 h. Fluid movement during the fluid penetration test was observed under loop magnification to differentiate the 0.5 mm intervals on the scale, in μ L/h. The fluid movement was recorded as 0 in the samples where no air bubble movement was observed.

Push-out bond strength

Each root was embedded in epoxy resin in a custom-made split-ring copper mould. After setting of the epoxy resin, twelve slices (1 mm thick) were obtained from each root (four per root third) using a water-cooled precision saw (Ernst-Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany). The first slice of each third was selected for the push-out test. Each specimen was marked on its coronal surface with an indelible marker, and the exact thickness of each slice was measured using a digital caliper to 0.04 mm accuracy (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan).

Each section was coded and measured for the apical and coronal diameters of the obturated area using an Olympus Camedia C-5060 digital camera (Tokyo, Japan) attached to a Zeiss stereomicroscope. Each root section was then subjected to a compressive load via a universal testing machine (Lloyd LRX-plus; Lloyd Instruments Ltd, Fareham, UK) at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min using a 0.8-mm diameter stainless steel cylindrical plunger. The plunger tip was positioned so that it only contacted the filling material. The push-out force was applied in an apico-coronal direction until bond failure occurred, which was manifested by extrusion of the epoxy resin obturation material and a sudden drop along the load deflection. The force was recorded by using Nexygen data analysis software (Lloyd Instruments Ltd). The maximum failure load was recorded in Newtons and was used to calculate the push-out bond strength in megapascals (MPa) according to the following formula (Nagas *et al.* 2007):

Push-out bond strength (MPa) = N / A ; where, N = Maximum load (N), A = Adhesion area of root canal filling (mm²).

The adhesion (bonding) surface area of each section was calculated as: $(\pi r_1 + \pi r_2) \times L$, where $L = \sqrt{(r_1 - r_2)^2 + h^2}$; where π is the constant 3.14, r_1 and r_2 are the smaller and larger radii respectively and h is the thickness of the section in mm.

Data Presentation and analysis

The two main outcome variables in this study were fluid movement (in $\mu\text{L/h}$) and push-out bond strength (in MPa). Data was evenly distributed (D'Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test), except when the grouping variable was disregarded (see below). Consequently, parametric statistical tests were applied.

To assess the impact of time after root filling (3 days vs. 30 days) and group (i.e. treatment protocols) on fluid movement through filled root canals, repeated measures analysis

of variance (ANOVA) was performed. Subsequently, one-way ANOVA was applied to compare values between groups at each time point. Regarding push-out bond strength, two-way ANOVA was performed to weigh the impact of root third and group, i.e. irrigating protocol, and root thirds as the two independent variables on the outcome (dependent variable). To compare the impact of the different irrigating protocols on push-out bond strength within each root third, one-way ANOVA was applied. Bonferroni's correction for multiple testing was used in one-way analysis of variance.

The correlation between the average push-out bond strength values per root and average leakage (averaged between 3 days and 30 days for each root) was viewed on a bivariate scatter plot (Fig. 1). When the grouping variable (irrigation protocol) was disregarded, the two data sets were skewed. Consequently, non-parametric statistics were applied to test for correlation (Spearman's rank correlation).

The alpha-type error was set at 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

Results

Fluid transport

All groups showed some degree of leakage in the fluid transport assay. Time had a significant impact ($P < 0.05$) on fluid flow rate. Leakage was higher on day 3 than on day 30 in all treatment groups (Table 1). This difference was more pronounced if one of the two decalcifying agents (EDTA or maleic acid) were applied last. At both time points, it was apparent that groups treated with a decalcifying agent last performed significantly ($P < 0.05$) better than the other groups. Furthermore, irrigation with sodium hypochlorite during and after root canal instrumentation reduced fluid transport values in groups with a final application of a decalcifying agent. However, when a sodium hypochlorite flush was applied after the decalcifying agent, this effect was abolished, and leakage values were statistically

similar ($P > 0.05$) to the water control. Chlorhexidine had no impact on fluid transport; values were essentially identical to those obtained with mere water application. After 30 days, the effects observed immediately after setting of the sealer became more pronounced (Table 1). The protocols involving NaOCl during and after instrumentation followed by a final application of a decalcifying agent (groups 7 & 9) now allowed less than one third of the fluid transport observed with the water control group. Chlorhexidine still had no effect.

Push-out bond strength

Two-way ANOVA revealed that both, the type of treatment protocol and the root third had a significant ($P < 0.05$) impact on push-out bond strength values. Push-out bond strength was highest in the coronal and lowest in the apical third (Table 2). Irrigation with sodium hypochlorite during instrumentation and a final rinse with maleic acid resulted in the highest bond strengths in all root thirds, significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from all other groups. A similar treatment using EDTA as a final irrigant resulted in the second highest values (Table 2). Following the same pattern of the leakage assessment, a final rinse with 3% NaOCl abolished the desired effects of the decalcifying agents, and push-out bond strength became similar to those observed with the water control. Sodium hypochlorite *per se* lowered the push-out bond strength values compared to those of water significantly ($P < 0.05$) in coronal and middle root thirds. Chlorhexidine had no effect on push-out bond strength.

Correlation between outcomes

Analysis of correlation between the averaged leakage values between the two observation times and averaged push-out bond strength values per root showed very high negative correlation (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient $\rho = -0.83$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 1). This means that treatments that resulted in low leakage (Table 1) also caused the highest

bond strength to dentine (Table 2).

Discussion

The current study showed a significant impact on the chemicals contained in irrigating solutions on both, sealing ability assessed by the fluid transport method and bond strength to dentine in a push-out test of an epoxy resin sealer. Furthermore, sealing ability and bond strength strongly correlated with each other. Consequently, the three null hypotheses tested were rejected.

To the best of our knowledge, this study would be the first to correlate sealing ability with bonding to dentine of a root canal sealer. Hitherto, this correlation has been established for adhesive cements used for fiber-post bonding (Zicari *et al.* 2008). As indicated above, it still remains questionable whether either of these two outcome variables, whilst being connected to each other for the epoxy resin material under investigation, is related to clinical outcomes. The endodontic treatment goal remains to prevent oral pathogens from colonizing and re-infecting the root and periapical tissues and to thereby maintain long-term periapical health. To this end, it is questionable whether bonding to dentine is truly necessary for a root filling material. Silicone-based materials, for instance, have good sealing ability (De-Deus *et al.* 2007), but no bonding capacity. However, at least from a clinical standpoint, some adhesion of the root filling is desirable. It is a commonly observed problem with silicone-based sealers that the whole root filling is removed during the attempt to drill a post space, for instance.

The current study produced some further results that merit mentioning. First and foremost, application of a decalcifying agent improved bond strength and sealing ability of the epoxy resin root canal sealer under investigation – AH Plus. There is but one logical explanation for this result: AH Plus is able to bond to the organic phase of the root dentine,

most likely in the collagen network. However, this effect was abolished when sodium hypochlorite was applied subsequently as the final irrigating solution. The point is that a final NaOCl flush after EDTA has been recommended based on the appearance of root canal walls after cleaning and shaping and final irrigation (Yamada *et al.* 1983, Baumgartner & Mader 1987). However, according to the present observations, this irrigation regimen should be thought over, at least if an epoxy resin-based sealer is used. A final sodium hypochlorite flush has two effects, which may be responsible for the decrease in epoxy resin bond strength to dentine and the thus-resulting increase in leakage. First, sodium hypochlorite will remove organic material from the exposed dentine surface (Marending *et al.* 2007). Second, sodium hypochlorite breaks down to sodium chloride and oxygen. Oxygen causes strong inhibition of the interfacial polymerization of methacrylate resins (Munksgaard *et al.* 1985). The polyaddition reaction during the curing of epoxy resins, however, is not affected by oxygen. On the other hand, the generation of oxygen bubbles at the resin-dentine interface may directly interfere with resin infiltration into the tubules and inter-tubular dentine (Rueggeberg & Margeson 1990). Nonetheless, it should be mentioned that using NaOCl during instrumentation and as a flush before application of a decalcifying agent actually had a desired effect on sealing ability (Table 1) and push-out bond strength (Table 2) of AH Plus. The reason for this positive effect may be that NaOCl can remove loose organic remnants from the canal system, which may interfere with sealer bonding to the canal wall.

Maleic acid, an organic acid contained in dentine bonding agents such as Syntac Classic (Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein), appears to provide higher bond strength than EDTA. Based on observations of trans-sectioned roots after different irrigation protocols, it would appear that the complete demineralization of the exposed dentine wall caused by EDTA is less ideal for bonding than the structure created by a final application of maleic acid. Maleic acid is a weak acid, and it is likely that it will affect the dentine similarly to

other organic acids such as acetic or lactic acid: it may cause a mineral gradient in the exposed dentine rather than the complete surface demineralization observed with strong acids such as phosphoric acid or strong chelators such as EDTA (Kawasaki *et al.* 2000). However, this needs to be investigated further. A recent study showed that maleic acid reduced the microhardness of root dentin similar to EDTA but was able to increase dentine surface roughness markedly more than EDTA (Ballal *et al.* 2010). Thus, the potential relationship between surface roughness, bond-strength and sealing ability deserves further investigation.

Chlorhexidine in a concentration of 2% has been recommended as a final antimicrobial rinse for canal disinfection because of its sustained antimicrobial activity (White *et al.* 1999), and the fact that chlorhexidine can enhance the durability of resin-dentine bonds (Carrilho *et al.* 2007). Whilst we did not find any positive effect of chlorhexidine on the bond of the epoxy resin material to dentine, there was no negative effect either. Chlorhexidine resulted in leakage and push-out bond strength values that were almost identical to those obtained with the water control treatment.

Another notable finding regarding bond strength was that this value decreased in a coronal to apical direction. The explanation for this may be that apical dentine contains less patent tubules than coronal dentine (Paque *et al.* 2006, Lottanti *et al.* 2009). The more complex structure of tubular dentine apparently yields itself better to infiltration with epoxy resin compared to the sclerotic apical counterpart. However, this does not necessarily mean that sclerotic dentine cannot be sealed as well as tubular dentine. Most likely, the opposite is the case (unpublished observations). What the current results show is that overall (averaged) bonding to dentine had an effect on fluid transport. It was not differentiated between different root thirds and dentine structure in this regard.

A recent study found similar effects of irrigating protocols on AH Plus push-out bond strength in root canals (Hashem *et al.* 2009). However, in contrast to the current study,

individual chemicals were not singled out in that study. Furthermore, these authors filled canals with gutta-percha and AH Plus. Consequently, it remains unclear whether the failure modes in their push-out test were adhesive, i.e. between sealer and dentine, or cohesive, i.e. between the sealer and the core material. In the current study, only AH Plus sealer was used to fill the entire root canal space. This does not introduce bias, because AH Plus does not shrink. In fact, AH Plus expands over time in a humid environment (Orstavik *et al.* 2001). This can explain why leakage values decreased over time. Filling the canals just with the epoxy resin material had the advantage that all the failures were adhesive, and thus only the sealer/dentine interface was studied rather than anything else. Clinically, however, filling with mere AH Plus is not advisable, because the material sets hard as a rock, and thus makes retreating almost impossible. The current results are in accordance with a published report on push-out bond strength of sealers to dentine without a core material in that the bond strength of AH Plus to dentine treated with NaOCl followed by EDTA were higher than specimens treated with NaOCl or distilled water (Nunes *et al.* 2008).

Future studies should look at the effect of alternative irrigating protocols on leakage and dentine bond strength in conjunction with different root filling systems. From a microbiological point of view, it would make sense to flush a strong disinfectant such as NaOCl in the canal system prior to root filling. However, extended exposure to NaOCl may deproteinize dentine to a point that cannot be reversed by the subsequent application of a decalcifying agent.

Conclusions

- Chemical treatment of dentine with commonly used irrigants had a significant impact on sealing ability and dentine bond strength of AH Plus;

- a final flush with a decalcifying agent appears advisable, whilst a final flush with NaOCl caused untoward effects;
- conditioning of canal walls by maleic acid, which is a weak acid, resulted in superior sealing ability and higher epoxy resin bond strength compared to EDTA, which is a strong calcium-complexing agent;
- the two outcomes investigated here, fluid transport and dentine bond strength, were strongly negatively correlated to each other.

References:

- Ballal NV, Mala K, Bhat KS (2010) Evaluation of the effect of maleic acid and ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid on the microhardness and surface roughness of human root canal dentin. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1385-8.
- Baumgartner JC, Mader CL (1987) A scanning electron microscopic evaluation of four root canal irrigation regimens. *Journal of Endodontics* **13**, 147-57.
- Carrilho MR, Carvalho RM, de Goes MF, di Hipolito V, Geraldeli S, Tay FR, Pashley DH, Tjaderhane L (2007) Chlorhexidine preserves dentin bond in vitro. *Journal of Dental Research* **86**, 90-4.
- De-Deus G, Brandao MC, Fidel RA, Fidel SR (2007) The sealing ability of GuttaFlow in oval-shaped canals: an ex vivo study using a polymicrobial leakage model. *International Endodontic Journal* **40**, 794-9.
- De-Deus G, Di Giorgi K, Fidel S, Fidel RA, Paciornik S (2009) Push-out bond strength of Resilon/Epiphany and Resilon/Epiphany self-etch to root dentin. *Journal of Endodontics* **35**, 1048-50.

- De-Deus G, Namen F, Galan JJ, Zehnder M (2008) Soft chelating irrigation protocol optimizes bonding quality of Resilon/Epiphany root fillings. *Journal of Endodontics* **34**, 703-5.
- Fisher MA, Berzins DW, Bahcall JK (2007) An in vitro comparison of bond strength of various obturation materials to root canal dentin using a push-out test design. *Journal of Endodontics* **33**, 856-8.
- Gesi A, Raffaelli O, Goracci C, Pashley DH, Tay FR, Ferrari M (2005) Interfacial strength of Resilon and gutta-percha to intraradicular dentin. *Journal of Endodontics* **31**, 809-13.
- Grossman LI (1976) Physical properties of root canal cements. *Journal of Endodontics* **2**, 166-75.
- Hashem AA, Ghoneim AG, Lutfy RA, Fouda MY (2009) The effect of different irrigating solutions on bond strength of two root canal-filling systems. *Journal of Endodontics* **35**, 537-40.
- Hebling J, Pashley DH, Tjaderhane L, Tay FR (2005) Chlorhexidine arrests subclinical degradation of dentin hybrid layers in vivo. *Journal of Dental Research* **84**, 741-6.
- Kawasaki K, Ruben J, Tsuda H, Huysmans MC, Takagi O (2000) Relationship between mineral distributions in dentine lesions and subsequent remineralization in vitro. *Caries Research* **34**, 395-403.
- Kececi AD, Kaya BU, Belli S (2010) Corono-apical leakage of various root filling materials using two different penetration models--a 3-month study. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research B: Applied Biomaterials* **92**, 261-7.
- Lee KW, Williams MC, Camps JJ, Pashley DH (2002) Adhesion of endodontic sealers to dentin and gutta-percha. *Journal of Endodontics* **28**, 684-8.

- Lottanti S, Gautschi H, Sener B, Zehnder M (2009) Effects of ethylenediaminetetraacetic, etidronic and peracetic acid irrigation on human root dentine and the smear layer. *International Endodontic Journal* **42**, 335-43.
- Mai S, Kim YK, Arola DD, Gu LS, Kim JR, Pashley DH, Tay FR (2010) Differential aggressiveness of ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid in causing canal wall erosion in the presence of sodium hypochlorite. *Journal of Dentistry* **38**, 201-6.
- Marending M, Luder HU, Brunner TJ, Knecht S, Stark WJ, Zehnder M (2007) Effect of sodium hypochlorite on human root dentine--mechanical, chemical and structural evaluation. *International Endodontic Journal* **40**, 786-93.
- Munksgaard EC, Irie M, Asmussen E (1985) Dentin-polymer bond promoted by Gluma and various resins. *Journal of Dental Research* **64**, 1409-11.
- Nagas E, Cehreli ZC, Durmaz V, Vallittu PK, Lassila LV (2007) Regional push-out bond strength and coronal microleakage of Resilon after different light-curing methods. *Journal of Endodontics* **33**, 1464-8.
- Nunes VH, Silva RG, Alfredo E, Sousa-Neto MD, Silva-Sousa YT (2008) Adhesion of Epiphany and AH Plus sealers to human root dentin treated with different solutions. *Brazilian Dental Journal* **19**, 46-50.
- Orstavik D, Nordahl I, Tibballs JE (2001) Dimensional change following setting of root canal sealer materials. *Dental Materials* **17**, 512-9.
- Paque F, Luder HU, Sener B, Zehnder M (2006) Tubular sclerosis rather than the smear layer impedes dye penetration into the dentine of endodontically instrumented root canals. *International Endodontic Journal* **39**, 18-25.
- Pinna L, Loushine RJ, Bishop FDJ, Cotti E, Weller RN, Pashley DH, Tay FR (2009) Hybrid Root SEAL (MetaSEAL) creates hybrid layers in radicular dentin only when EDTA is used as the final rinse. *American Journal of Dentistry* **22**, 299-303.

- Prati C, Biagini G, Nucci C, Castaldini C, Zucchini C (1990) Effects of chemical pretreatments on dentin bonding. *American Journal of Dentistry* **3**, 199-206.
- Rueggeberg FA, Margeson DH (1990) The effect of oxygen inhibition on an unfilled/filled composite system. *Journal of Dental Research* **69**, 1652-8.
- Schwartz RS (2006) Adhesive dentistry and endodontics. Part 2: bonding in the root canal system-the promise and the problems: a review. *Journal of Endodontics* **32**, 1125-34.
- Souza SF, Bombana AC, Francci C, Goncalves F, Castellan C, Braga RR (2009) Polymerization stress, flow and dentine bond strength of two resin-based root canal sealers. *International Endodontic Journal* **42**, 867-73.
- White RR, Janer LR, Hays GL (1999) Residual antimicrobial activity associated with a chlorhexidine endodontic irrigant used with sodium hypochlorite. *American Journal of Dentistry* **12**, 148-50.
- Yamada RS, Armas A, Goldman M, Lin PS (1983) A scanning electron microscopic comparison of a high volume final flush with several irrigating solutions: Part 3. *Journal of Endodontics* **9**, 137-42.
- Zhang K, Kim YK, Cadenaro M, Bryan TE, Sidow SJ, Loushine RJ, Ling JQ, Pashley DH, Tay FR (2010) Effects of different exposure times and concentrations of sodium hypochlorite/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on the structural integrity of mineralized dentin. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 105-9.
- Zicari F, Couthino E, De Munck J, Poitevin A, Scotti R, Naert I, Van Meerbeek B (2008) Bonding effectiveness and sealing ability of fiber-post bonding. *Dental Materials* **24**, 967-77.

Figure caption

Figure 1 Bivariate scatter plot depicting the correlation between fluid transport (averaged between day 3 and 30) and push-out bond strength (averaged per tooth). There was a high negative rank correlation between the two measurements (Spearman's $\rho = -0.83$).

Table 1 Fluid filtration ($\mu\text{L/h}$, means \pm standard deviations) through filled roots after the epoxy resin sealer (AH Plus) had set and one month subsequently according to the type of dentine conditioning prior to sealer application ($n = 10$)

Group	Leakage day 3	Leakage day 30
1: Water – water	13.2 ± 0.6^A	8.3 ± 0.6^a
2: Water – 3% NaOCl	12.9 ± 0.9^A	8.6 ± 1.3^a
3: Water – 17% EDTA	11.4 ± 0.7^B	4.4 ± 1.0^b
4: Water – 7% maleic acid (MA)	11.3 ± 0.7^B	3.6 ± 0.6^c
5: Water – 2% CHX	13.2 ± 0.9^A	8.3 ± 1.0^a
6: 3% NaOCl – 17% EDTA – 3% NaOCl	12.7 ± 0.5^A	8.2 ± 0.8^a
7: 3% NaOCl – 3% NaOCl – 17% EDTA	10.8 ± 0.8^{BC}	2.6 ± 0.6^d
8: 3% NaOCl – 7% MA – 3% NaOCl	12.1 ± 0.6^{AB}	8.2 ± 0.7^a
9: 3% NaOCl – 3% NaOCl – 7% MA	10.2 ± 0.8^C	2.2 ± 0.4^d

Mean values that share a superscript letter were not significantly different at the 5% level within the same time point (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni).

Table 2 Push-out bond strength (MPa, means \pm standard deviations) of epoxy resin sealer (AH Plus) one month after application according to root third and group ($n = 10$)

Group	Coronal third	Middle third	Apical third
1: Water – water	1.9 ± 0.5^A	1.2 ± 0.3^a	0.6 ± 0.2^c
2: Water – 3% NaOCl	1.1 ± 0.2^B	0.8 ± 0.2^b	0.5 ± 0.2^c
3: Water – 17% EDTA	3.1 ± 0.4^C	2.0 ± 0.2^c	1.1 ± 0.3^b
4: Water – 7% maleic acid (MA)	3.3 ± 0.3^C	2.6 ± 0.3^d	1.6 ± 0.3^c
5: Water – 2% CHX	1.9 ± 0.5^A	1.3 ± 0.3^a	0.6 ± 0.2^c
6: 3% NaOCl – 17% EDTA – 3% NaOCl	1.5 ± 0.3^A	1.1 ± 0.2^a	0.8 ± 0.1^b
7: 3% NaOCl – 3% NaOCl – 17% EDTA	3.5 ± 0.2^C	2.7 ± 0.2^d	2.0 ± 0.2^c
8: 3% NaOCl – 7% MA – 3% NaOCl	1.5 ± 0.2^A	1.2 ± 0.2^a	$0.7 \pm 0.2^{a,b}$
9: 3% NaOCl – 3% NaOCl – 7% MA	4.1 ± 0.2^D	3.0 ± 0.2^e	2.6 ± 0.2^c

Mean values that share a superscript letter were not significantly different at the 5% level within the same root third (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni).

